

Civilian Review Board

Cleveland Clinic Police Department / Protective Services

Meeting Minutes – June 18, 2025

	Members	
	Leo Pozuelo, MD	Chair - Psychiatry & Psychology
	Joseph Austerman, MD	Section Head - Psychiatry & Psychology
present	John Edward George MD	Medical Director – Regional Anesthesiology
present	Judy Santora	Administrative Director – Marymount Hospital
	Sherelle Tucker	Director, Community & Economic Development
present	Stephanie Bayer	Sr. Director – Patient Experience Administration
	Tanesha Moss	Manager – Patient Experience / Ombudsman
present	Jamie Herbst	Director Human Resource Services – Main Campus
present	Erica Shields	Executive Director Associate Chief Nursing
	Aimee Smith	Director Human Resource Services – Avon
	John Aldergate	Director Human Resource Services – Union
	Advisors	
present	Lisa Barrett	Deputy Chief Legal Officer
present	Deon McCaulley	Chief – Cleveland Clinic Police
present	Anthony Roetzel	Deputy Chief – Cleveland Clinic Police
present	Gordon Snow	Chief Security Officer – Cleveland Clinic

Guests

- CCPD Lieutenant Ivan Kristo
- CCPD Lieutenant Stanley Kaczynski
- Inspector Rebecca Bradbeer

Case#**Case Type****Location:****Date Received:****CCPD Officer(s):****PD 2025- 060940****Patient Abuse****CC Euclid Hospital****May 29, 2025****Wilbert Nevels****Complainant:**

- o Inspector Bradbee detailed an incident involving a patient and Officer Nevels, where the patient Multiple staff members were interviewed, and there were conflicting reports about the officer's actions.
- o The investigation concluded that there was no definitive evidence of abuse or excessive force.

Sustained: Preponderance of evidence facts and circumstances supports a finding that the alleged



violation(s) occurred and that the conduct was inconsistent with CCPD/CC policy, procedures, directives, and training.

Exonerated: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances supports the alleged conduct occurred, but the conduct was consistent with law, CCPD/CC policy procedures, directives, and training.

Unfounded: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances supports a finding that the alleged conduct did not occur.

Non-substantiated: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances failed to establish whether or not the conduct occurred.

Deputy Chief Roetzel questioned if any further information was required or if there were additional questions prior to polling the members. Additional questions were addressed.

- **Complaint Against Patient Abuse**

The members were polled and returned unanimously that the complaint was **Non-substantiated**

Case#	PD-2025-048622
Case Type	Unprofessional conduct
Location:	CC Main Campus
Date Received:	April 27, 2025
CCPD Officer:	Corporal Smith

Complainant:

████████████████████

- o Lt. Kaszynski reviewed a complaint from ██████████ regarding interactions with Corporal Smith. The complaint involved allegations of false information and emotional distress.
- o The investigation found no evidence to support the complaint, and it was deemed unfounded.

Sustained: Preponderance of evidence facts and circumstances supports a finding that the alleged violation(s) occurred and that the conduct was inconsistent with CCPD/CC policy, procedures, directives, and training.

Exonerated: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances supports the alleged conduct occurred, but the conduct was consistent with law, CCPD/CC policy procedures, directives, and training.

Unfounded: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances supports a finding that the alleged conduct did not occur.

Non-substantiated: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances failed to establish whether or not the conduct occurred.

Deputy Chief Roetzel questioned if any further information was required or if there were additional questions prior to polling the members. Additional questions were addressed.

- **Complaint Against Unprofessional Encounter with Corporal Smith**

The members were polled and returned unanimously that the complaint was **Unfounded**



Case#	PD-2025-063228
Case Type	Excessive Force
Location:	CC Main Campus
Date Received:	May 30, 2025
CCPD Officer:	Erick Rypinski
Complainant:	Darnell Brewer

- o Lt. Kaczynski discussed an incident where a state representative intervened during a police response to a gunshot victim. The representative felt the officers' actions were excessive.

The officers' actions were reviewed and found to be in line with protocol, ensuring scene safety. The complaint was exonerated.

Sustained: Preponderance of evidence facts and circumstances supports a finding that the alleged violation(s) occurred and that the conduct was inconsistent with CCPD/CC policy, procedures, directives, and training.

Exonerated: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances supports the alleged conduct occurred, but the conduct was consistent with law, CCPD/CC policy procedures, directives, and training.

Unfounded: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances supports a finding that the alleged conduct did not occur.

Non-substantiated: Preponderance of evidence, facts and circumstances failed to establish whether or not the conduct occurred.

- **Complaint Against excessive force against Eryk Rypinski**

The members were polled and returned unanimously that the complaint was **Unfounded**